

Group I are not defined in the inventions of Groups II and Group III. See Restriction, p. 3.

In addition, the Office indicates that Group I requires further restriction based on an alleged lack of unity under PCT Rule 13.1, and that Applicants must elect from one of species a to h should they elect Group I. *Id.* at 4.

In an Interview dated April 25, 2011, the Examiner discussed an second restriction requirement with Applicants' representative, Jeremy Forest. Mr. Forest made an oral election on April 27.

In a telephonic discussion dated May 10, the undersigned discussed the current, third restriction requirement with the Examiner. In particular, they discussed which claims should be covered by which species subgroup. Based on that discussion, it is the Applicants' understanding that the species election is now between:

- a. claims 40-49, 51, 55, and 78
- b. claims 40-49, 52, 53, 55, and 78
- c. --
- d. claims 40-49, 54, 55, and 78
- e. claims 40-46, 50, 51, 55, and 78
- f. claims 40-46, 50, 52, 53, 55, and 78
- g. --
- h. claims 40-46, 50, 54, 55, and 78.


Accordingly, in response, Applicants elect, with traverse, the subject matter of Group I, species (a), claims 40-49, 51, 55, and 78. Applicants traverse the restriction and the election for the reasons already of record.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 11, 2011

By: 
Anthony A. Hartmann
Reg. No. 43,662